



Minor Planet Center Update & Status

Tim Spahr, NEO Sciences, LLC & University of Maryland Small Bodies Node James Bauer (UMD), Matthew Holman (MPC, CfA) IAWN Steering Committee Meeting, Vienna, 5 February 2020



Brief update items

--Minor Planet Center has hired several new staff members and given each specific tests and projects; much progress!

--NEO Confirmation Page & Comet Confirmation Page updated automatically/frequently and running smoothly

--Automated processing of identifications submitted by external parties

More update items



Running Tallies

Near-Earth Objects Discovered

THIS MONTH:	127
THIS YEAR:	127
LAST YEAR:	2436
ALL TIME:	21951

Minor Planets Discovered

THIS MONTH:	128
THIS YEAR:	128
LAST YEAR:	4956
ALL TIME:	853561

Comets Discovered

THIS MONTH:	3	
THIS YEAR:	3	
LAST YEAR:	68	
ALL TIME:	4138	

Observations

THIS MONTH:	303043
THIS YEAR:	303043
LAST YEAR:	27.8 million
ALL TIME:	246.1 million

--MPC is exporting ADES-format observations to JPL/CNEOs for use in SCOUT and Sentry NEO impact calculations & uncertainties

> 930,000 orbits on file with the MPC right now (!!)









Many New MPC Products: in Beta Testing

Cometary Activity Observations

- Regularize Cometary reporting
- Further automation of PCCP processing

• MPC Pointings Database Submissions & Queries

- Decreases duplication of phase space coverage in NEO follow-up
- Observation Disposition Search Page
 - https://www.minorplanetcenter.net/beta/wamo.html
 - Identification and reference for published data
 - Other disposition possibilities, e.g. ITF
 - Simply "we have it" or "we don't" for unprocessed data
- Other products include New Observatory code request form, information on previous NEOCP objects.



The second second

Recent SBN-MPC Operations Developments:



- <u>Archiving the MPC database</u>: SBN hosts a live copy of the MPC Database
 - Backed up to UMD's cloud-based resources, history of db & incremental upgrades
 - SBN is the distributor of the MPC Postgres database; beta-distribution has begun....
 - Initial test of new Postgres DB ingest and distribution completed in Feb 2019.
 - "Alpha" Testing underway for NEO DB distribution (SpaceDys, CSS, Astronomical Research Institute, SpaceWatch).
 - Status Boards for database uptime and some basic products
 - Integration of MPC DB in SBN tools (CATCH, Comet Cross-ID tool)

Postgres mpcbeta:

Table	Count	Created_at	Updated_at
neocp_els	99	2020-01-31 09:59:40.344292	2020-01-31 12:23:40.614618
neocp_events	35463	2020-01-31 12:23:49.390546	2020-01-31 12:23:49.390546
neocp_obs_archive	108399	2020-01-31 12:23:40.60739	2020-01-31 12:23:40.60739
neocp_obs	894	2020-01-31 12:23:40.602097	2020-01-31 12:23:40.602097
neocp_prev_des	33800	2020-01-31 12:06:18.783104	2020-01-31 12:06:18.783104
neocp_var	197932	2020-01-31 12:23:49.372314	2020-01-31 12:23:49.372314

YYYY-MM-DD - latest record is older than 3 days YYYY-MM-DD - latest record is older than 1 day YYYY-MM-DD - latest record is less than 1 day old

DB Live Copy Status:

https://pdssbn.astro.umd.edu/MPC_database/statusDB.shtml



Forward-looking

--MPC has a part-time systems engineering support through the SBN... looking for technical manager from within CfA.

--Detailed work plan going forward for transitioning products to database operations from flat files

--Preliminary discussions and Memorandum of Understanding with both NEO Surveyor (completed) and LSST/Vera Rubin (final draft circulating); interface documentation

MPC Near-Future Steps



- Robustly automated Monthly product generation,
- Spring stand-up of several Postgres DB pipeline elements,
- integration of heliocentric linking into pipeline,
- retirement of outdated/unused products (e.g. pdf format publications, etc.), as per interface change policies,
- DOI issuances through SBN for MPC products.



- Contraction of the second seco



Ranking the Importance of Observations

--Much background discussion from PDCO/CNEOS/MPC/UMD on the subject evaluating the importance of NEO observations

--not all NEOs need observing! Objects with good orbits, objects with small uncertainties, tiny objects, objects that will become lost, etc





Notional NASA "guidelines"

	Objective of Follow-up				
	Secure Orbit for	Predict Future	Predict Future	Determine Close	Determine Time and
Category	Future Apparitions	Close Encounters	Potential Impacts	Approach Distance	Location of Impact
NEO	>100 meters				
NHATS	>30 meters?	Follow-up			
PHA	>20 meters	Follow-up	Follow-up		
Close Approach					
< 1LD	> 10 meters	Follow-up	Follow-up		
Close Approach					
< 6 RE	> 1 meter	Follow-up	Follow-up	Follow-up	
Impactor?	>1 meter	Follow-up	Follow-up	Follow-up	Follow-up





Trying to rank importance of each NEO!

- --NEOs with collision probability > 0
- --Large NEOs
- --NEOs with close approaches possible
- --NEOs with large orbital uncertainty
- --Spacecraft targets
- --NEOs easily accessible by spacecraft
- --NEOs for which a few observations can dramatically improve the orbital uncertainty





Sum contributions from participants

--Super simple way to measure contributions

Contribution = $\sum NEO_scores$

--can compute scores and 'contribution' daily, weekly, etc





Completely mathematical representation

--Ok, so I have a hammer (math) so I hit the nail (created a way to measure mathematical contributions)

--is this sensible? Do we like it?

--how to measure non-mathematical contributions?





To IAWN Steering Committee

What NEO attributes matter to you and your constituents?

discuss